1	JACOB D. BUNDICK, ESQ.
2	Nevada Bar No. 9772
	MICHAEL HOGUE, ESQ.
3	Nevada Bar No. 12400
	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
4	10845 Griffith Peak Drive, Ste. 600
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89135
5	Telephone: (702) 792-3773
	Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
	Email: <u>bundickj@gtlaw.com</u>
6	hoguem@gtlaw.com
_	
/	Counsel for Defendant
	Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC
8	

TROY A. GARCIA,

INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC,

Defendants.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Case No.: 2:17-cv-01721-RFB-VCF

Plaintiff,	
v. SPECIALIZED LOAN SERVICING LLC; AMERICAN HONDA FINANCE CORP.;	FIFTH STIPULATION TO CONTINUE DEADLINE TO SUBMIT JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER
TOYOTA FINANCIAL SERVICES; WELLS FARGO CARD SERVICES: EOUIFAX	

COME NOW, Plaintiff, Troy A. Garcia ("Plaintiff"), and Defendant, Specialized Loan Servicing, LLC ("SLS" or "Defendant"), by and through their respective counsel of record in the above-captioned matter, and hereby stipulate and agree, pursuant to LR 7-1, as follows:

- 1. On May 14, 2018, Defendant filed its Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 35] ("Defendant's Motion").
- 2. On May 14, 2018, Plaintiff filed his Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [ECF No. 37] ("Plaintiff's Motion" and, collectively with Defendant's Motion, the "Motions").
- 3. After the parties completed briefing on the Motions, the Court conducted oral argument on September 21, 2018, and took the Motions under submission.

- 4. On March 21, 2019, the Court entered an order granting in part and denying in part Defendant's Motion, denying Plaintiff's Motion, and directing the parties to submit a Joint Pretrial Order by April 24, 2019 [ECF No. 67].
- 5. On April 16, 2019, Defendant filed a Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's order [ECF No. 70].
  - 6. On May 4, 2019 Plaintiff filed his response to the Motion for Reconsideration.
- 7. On May 20, 2019 Defendant filed its reply in support of the Motion for Reconsideration [ECF No. 81].
- 8. The Parties have engaged in settlement conversations, although a settlement has not been reached. The parties continue to discuss potential resolution.
- 9. The parties have met and conferred via email on the pretrial deadline. SLS believes that the issues raised in the Motion for Reconsideration may substantially impact the issues to be decided at trial, and to allow the Court an opportunity to consider the motion and any oral argument heard, it is the best interests of the parties and judicial economy to continue the deadline to submit a Joint Pretrial Order from November 22, 2019 to February 3, 2020. SLS believes the Court will rule on the pending Motion for Reconsideration prior to the extended deadline. As noted in Plaintiff's opposition to SLS's Motion for Reconsideration, Plaintiff believes that reconsideration should be denied, such that adjudication on the motion will not limit the issues remaining for trial. Regardless, Plaintiff has no objection to an extension of the pretrial deadlines. Therefore, the parties are in agreement that an extension is warranted. The Parties do not presently anticipate submitting any further stipulation for an additional extension absent unforeseen circumstances.

///

23 | ///

24 || ///

25 | ///

26 || ///

27 | ///

28 | //

28